

PLEASE send your scores and rubrics to the judging organizers immediately after the round is completed! Thank you!

Judges must give a score for each category. Failure to do so may invalidate the results. Add scores in right column to obtain total score. (Maximum total of 100)					
Presenter's Name: _____ Easel Number: _____ Presenter Level: Undergraduate					
Presenter's Name: _____ Easel Number: _____ Presenter Level: Graduate					
Category	Criteria				Score
Abstract (15%) Score 0-15	Unable to clearly connect abstract to research poster or presentation.	Somewhat able to see connection of abstract to research/presentation. Abstract did not contain sufficient information.	Abstract adequately presented student's research. More information would have been beneficial.	Abstract strongly represented the student's research. Clearly supported topic presented and contained important points.	Abstract
Poster Content (17%) Score 0-17	Connection not found between poster content and purpose of study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, hypothesis, research question(s), method, conclusion, and/or implications.	The content was adequately presented but support for the study, research hypothesis, or question(s) is somewhat general. Conclusion and implications were reasonable.	Strong material. Well summarized. Clearly shows development of study or research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of study, hypothesis, or research question. Strong conclusion and implications presented.	Poster Content
Research Complexity Appropriate to Discipline (17%) Score 0-17	Less complex research project, given the field. Purpose of the study and analysis of results not easily interpreted by the audience.	Less complex research project given the field; however, purpose of the study and results easily interpreted by the audience.	Complex research project, given the field. Purpose of the research and results were difficult to interpret by the general audience.	Complex research project, given the field. Purpose of the study was completely defined and results displayed in a manner interpretable by the general audience.	Research Complexity
Poster Appearance/ Clarity (17%) Score 0-17	Not visually effective.	Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.	Poster was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, headings, and white space.	Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, colors, and white space.	Poster appearance / clarity
Poster Organization (17%) Score 0-17	Unable to understand link between information presented and topic of research.	Topic of research is not clear. Information presented is somewhat confusing.	Topic of the research is apparent. The presentation of information could use refining.	Topic of research is clearly evident. Layout of poster is logical, and provides sequential information from intro to conclusion and references.	Poster Organization
Ability to respond to questions (17%) Score 0-17	Presenter was not prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (no eye contact, no clear discussion of research, lack of professionalism).	Presenter did not convey a sense of confidence or ability to <i>clearly</i> discuss the research problem, methods, conclusion, and implications. Additional practice would be helpful.	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions, etc.)	Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed research problem, methods, conclusions, and implications. Answered questions well. Discussed research in layman's terms or appropriate to judge.	Presentation
TOTAL					

